

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05-
~~191.~~

(*Muzrai*)

File No. 275-

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month_____

SUBJECT.

*Passing orders in the matter of the appoin
of Archak in the Sri Venkateswara
temple in Mysore Fort -*

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES

1904-05

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.
Re appointment of Archak in the
Sri Venkateswaramanaswami temple in Mysore Fort


LAST REFERENCE:—

Rubbkavi ~~Letter~~ No. 22 dated 16th
October 1904 from the Dy Commr
Mysore District.

The Sri Venkateswaramanaswami temple
in Mysore Fort is a Muzrai institution.
The Fardik Patthi of this temple was revised
in July 1897 and in it a remark has been
made that none of the services therein
is of a hereditary nature. The pay of
the Archak of the temple is Rs 5 per mensem.

From the records received in the
the Dy Commr's Rubbkavi cited above it
is observed that the last Baravarajar
Archak was one Kittienjar alias
Venkatahar who died about 15 years ago

The wife of the deceased Kuthiengar,
 Vinuengadamma by name appointed
 her father (it is also reported uncle) Govindappa
 as acting Archak. She died in October
 1903 issueless. Seshaiengar nephew of
 the deceased claims the office of Archak.
 The following genealogical tree traces
 his claim to the office in question.



Mr. C. Krishna Rao, assistant Commr.
 reports that according to the Hindu law
 the claim of Seshiengar (A) is just & reasonable
 & that the ~~deceased~~ old father (B) of
 the deceased has no claim for the Archakship.

The document reports that Seshienagar
claims the office as he has a hereditary
claim thereto and as he has performed
the obsequies of the deceased Tiruvengadam
& her husband, but as in the revised
Madik Pathe it is stated that the source
is not of a hereditary character, the
claim of Seshienagar cannot be considered.
He therefore requests sanction to the
appointment of Govindappa who has
hitherto been acting, as Achak, as
he has been doing the work without
any complaint for the past 16 or 17 years.

[According to the Proclamation of 1902
under Rule 12 (1) (a) this question
which relates to Pujari rights of
a hereditary nature would have to be
decided by the Council Court. ~~But~~
~~the claim in this case has been brought~~
~~in the name of Seshienagar.~~

Submitted for
25/10/04. 27/10/04

The Board may, if it is so minded, be
 informed that the services of Archak
 may continue to be performed by
 the present Archak Govinda Char, and
 that if Sishungar wishes to advance
 his claim, he should bring a suit
 in Court. Hence, such a suit will
 be decided by the Court.

1.1.10-104
 -th. 10/10/04
 Manifestation
 11/10/04

133 -
 No 31-10-04

By Commission -
 present is approved.
 Since the office is not
 of a hereditary nature
 the Commission may
 be decided definitively
 it is a point to be run.
 a column hereafter, here-
 while, the existing arrange-
 ment does not meet
 the requirements.

is in-
 2/11/04
 Yes
 1.11.04

1.11.04 2/11/04

2513
 3.11.04
 Draft ready
 2/12/04

2513
 3.11.04



1904-05

FROM

M. Kantaraj Esq. B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

TO

Mr. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
in the Muzrai Department

Dated

18th

Despatched

October 1904

Received

General No. Rubakani No 22
No.

Enclosure No. 1/16

Requesting sanction
to appoint one Govinda Char
as barawaradar of 'Archana
virk' in Vankar, in Mysore
temple.

19 10. 04
M

Submitted with
an office note
for order.
is for on

ಶ್ರೀಮಂಜುಷಾಃ.

నలుగురు యింట్లోకి వెళ్ళి
 రోగిని చూడండి. వల్ల నుండి వచ్చింది.

બા. ૧૦૦૦૦. $\frac{14}{18}$ ની ૨૦૦૦૦ લા ફોલ્ડિંગ

1904 నేలబజ్జి

१. १००००
 २. १००००

[illegible]

వల్ల నేనూ రెండు టి ఫోన్లతో ఎంపిలుగా

ಶ್ರೀ ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ರಂಗನಾಥ್ ಮಯ್ಯರವರವರಿಗೆ ಸ
ದ ಅರ್ಪಣೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿ. ಕೆಲ
ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಮನು ಕೆಲಸದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ
ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ. ಯಾರೊಬ್ಬನು ಸುಸ್ಥಾನದ ಯಾರೊಬ್ಬನು
ನಿರಂತರತೆ, ಯಾರೊಬ್ಬನು ಯಾರೊಬ್ಬನು ಸುಸ್ಥಾನದ
ದಾಖಲೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ 16-17 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ದಕ್ಷಿಣದ
ಕೆಲಸದ ಯಾರೊಬ್ಬನು, ಕೆಲಸದ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿ.

ನಿ ನೆರವಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿತು.

ಇದರಿಂದಾಗಿ ನಾನು ತುಂಬಾ ದುಃಖಪಟ್ಟೆ.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

15-9-04 ನೆರವಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿತು.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ದೂರವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನನ್ನಿಗಿಷ್ಟೇ.

Muzrai.

Read:—

Subkari no. 22 of 14th
October 1904 from the Dy
Commr., Mysore District,
reporting that Tiruvengadam,
the Paravardden Achak of
the Sri Venkateswaramana
temple in Mysore Fort, died
in October 2-1903^{and} that Seshingar
nephew of the deceased claims
the office on the score of his
having performed the obsequies
of the deceased & her husband,
~~recommending~~
but that as the service is
being performed by Govindasakar

father of the deceased
Tiruvengadam — without any complaint for
the past 16 or 17 years, ~~recommending~~
~~that~~ ^{he} Govindasakar may be
continued in the office.

No. 2227 of 15/12/04
In file

Order thereon:—

The Dy Commissioner's

Recommendation is

If Sethiengar wishes to advance
his claim to the post, he should
bring a suit in proper form.

Meanwhile, the existing
by which Govindachari continues to perform the services of the Asst. Secy.,
arrangement will not be
disturbed.

Dewan's order)

7/12/04

Secretary

Muz. Deptt

6/12/04

To the Secy.

Muz. Deptt records